

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Frank E. Scherkenbach (SBN 142549) scherkenbach@fr.com FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. One Marina Park Drive Boston, MA 02110-2804 Telephone: (617) 542-5070 Howard G. Pollack (SBN 162897) pollack@fr.com Michael R. Headley (SBN 220834) headley@fr.com FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 500 Arguello Street, Suite 500 Redwood City, CA 94063 Telephone: (650) 839-5070 Attorneys for Plaintiff POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC.	blair CHR chris PAT patric PAU 875 Wasl Telep YAR yarch PAU 1117 Palo Telep Attor SEM FAIR COR	IR M. JACOBS (admitted pro hac vice) jacobs@paulhastings.com ISTINA A. ONDRICK (admitted pro hac vice) tinaondrick@paulhastings.com RICK J. STAFFORD (admitted pro hac vice) ekstafford@paulhastings.com L HASTINGS LLP 15th Street NW nington, DC 20005 chone: (202) 551-1700 2 R. CHAIKOVSKY (SBN: 175421) naikovsky@paulhastings.com L HASTINGS LLP S. California Avenue Alto, CA 94304 chone: (650) 320-1800 rneys for Defendants FAIRCHILD ICONDUCTOR INTERNATIONAL, INC., RCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR PORATION, and FAIRCHILD (TAIWAN) PORATION			
13	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
14	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
15	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION					
16	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC.,		Case No. C 09-5235-MMC			
17 18	Plaintiff, v.		[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT			
19	FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR					
20	INTERNATIONAL, INC., FAIRCHILD	1				
21	SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION, & FAIRCHILD (TAIWAN) CORPORATION					
22	Defendants.					
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	CASE NO. C 09-5235-MMC		[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT			

Pursuant to the JURY VERDICTS of March 4, 2014 (Dkt. No. 551) and December 17, 2015 (Dkt. No. 918) and the ORDERS of the Court (including Dkt. Nos. 349, 350, 632, 678, 922, 986, 1009, 1020, and 1031 and any and all other orders of the Court that hereby merge into this judgment), the Court's FINAL JUDGMENT is as follows:

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered in favor of Defendants Fairchild Semiconductor International, Inc., Fairchild Semiconductor Corporation, and Fairchild (Taiwan) Corporation (collectively, "Fairchild") and against Plaintiff Power Integrations, Inc. ("Power Integrations") with respect to literal infringement of claims 26 and 27 of U.S. Patent No. 6,538,908;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered in favor of Power Integrations and against Fairchild with respect to direct infringement under the doctrine of equivalents and inducement of claims 26 and 27 of U.S. Patent No. 6,538,908;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered in favor of Power Integrations and against Fairchild with respect to the willful infringement of claims 26 and 27 of U.S. Patent No. 6,538,908;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered in favor of Fairchild and against Power Integrations with respect to enhancement of damages for infringement of claims 26 and 27 of U.S. Patent No. 6,538,908;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered in favor of Power Integrations and against Fairchild with respect to validity of claims 26 and 27 of U.S. Patent No. 6,538,908;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered in favor of Power Integrations and against Fairchild with respect to enforceability of U.S. Patent No. 6,538,908;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered in favor of Power Integrations and against Fairchild with respect to literal infringement and inducement of claims 31, 34, 38, and 42 of U.S. Patent No. 6,212,079;

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered				
2	in favor of Power Integrations and against Fairchild with respect to the willful infringement of				
3	claims 31, 34, 38, and 42 of U.S. Patent No. 6,212,079;				
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered				
5	in favor of Fairchild and against Power Integrations with respect to enhancement of damages for				
6	infringement of claims 31, 34, 38 and 42 of U.S. Patent No. 6,212,079;				
7	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered				
8	in favor of Power Integrations and against Fairchild with respect to validity of claims 31, 34, 38,				
9	and 42 of U.S. Patent No. 6,212,079;				
10	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered				
11	in favor of Power Integrations and against Fairchild, awarding reasonable royalty damages to				
12	Power Integrations in the amount of \$139,800,000.00, plus an award of pre-judgment interest in				
13	the amount of \$6,680,598.00, for a total damages award of \$146,480,598.00;				
14	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered				
15	in favor of Power Integrations and against Fairchild with respect to literal infringement of claim 6				
16	of U.S. Patent No. 5,747,977;				
17	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered				
18	in favor of Power Integrations and against Fairchild with respect to direct infringement under the				
19	doctrine of equivalents and indirect infringement of claim 6 of U.S. Patent No. 5,747,977;				
20	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered				
21	in favor of Fairchild and against Power Integrations with respect to validity of claim 6 of U.S.				
22	Patent No. 5,747,977;				
23	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered				
24	in favor of Power Integrations and against Fairchild with respect to infringement of claims 1, 7, 8.				
25	10, 14, 15, and 17 of U.S. Patent No. 8,179,700; and				
26	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered				
27	in favor of Power Integrations and against Fairchild with respect to whether a violation of				
28	Fairchild's Seventh Amendment rights occurred.				
	CASE NO. C 09-5235-MMC - 2 - [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT				

1	Dated: March 9, 2017	Respectfully submitted,				
2	By: /s/Blair M. Jacobs					
3		Blair M. Jacobs				
4		Christina A. Ondrick Patrick J. Stafford				
5		PAUL HASTINGS LLP 875 15th Street NW				
6		Washington, DC 20005				
		Yar R. Chaikovsky				
7		PAUL HASTINGS LLP 1117 S. California Avenue				
8		Palo Alto, CA 94304				
9		Attorneys for Defendants				
10		FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR INTERNATIONAL, INC.,				
11		FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR				
12		CORPORATION, and FAIRCHILD (TAIWAN) CORPORATION				
13						
14	Dated: March 9, 2017	FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.				
15		By: /s/ Michael R. Headley				
16		Michael R. Headley (SBN 220834) headley@fr.com				
17		FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.				
		500 Arguello Street, Suite 500 Redwood City, CA 94063				
18		Telephone: (650) 839-5070				
19		Attorneys for Plaintiff POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC.				
20	POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC.					
21	Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under					
22	penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from counsel					
23	for Defendants.					
24	Dated: March 9, 2017	FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.				
25		By: /s/ Michael R. Headley				
26		Michael R. Headley (SBN 220834)				
27		Attorneys for Plaintiff POWER INTEGRATIONS, INC.				
28	GAGENO GOO FOOT NO CO					
	CASE NO. C 09-5235-MMC - 3 -	[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT				

	Case 3:09-cv-05235-MMC	Document 1038	Filed 03/10/17	Page 5 of 5			
1							
2	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.						
3			4	11.01			
4	Dated: <u>March 10</u> , 2017		By: Maxine M.	M Cluby			
5			United State	es District Judge			
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16 17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT

CASE NO. C 09-5235-MMC - 4 -